Modelling Thermodynamics and Fluid Mechanics in a Nuclear Reactor Core



United Kingdom National Nuclear Laboratory

F. Underhill, V. Ravindra, G. Reece, N. Patel, and S. Graham

United Kingdom National Nuclear Laboratory, Chadwick House, Birchwood Park, Warrington, WA3 6AE, United Kingdom (UK) This work was funded by HM Department for Energy Security and Net Zero's (DESNZ) AMR programme.

Introduction



High Temperature Gas Reactors (HTGR) will be demonstrated by the UK's Advanced Modular Reactor (AMR) programme.



Steady-state and time-dependent scenarios must first be simulated to underpin the safety of these HTGR technologies.

CFD Methodology

 3D Fuel block geometry is simplified from 31 fuel rods to 6, as shown in Figure 1 below, to reduce CFD mesh complexity [1].



Objectives



Simulate Japan's High Temperature Engineering Test Reactor (HTTR) using coarse-mesh Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD).



Establish HTTR CFD and nuclear thermal hydraulics models with built-in flexibility to enable scaling from 30 MWth to 250 MWth.



Moderator

Figure 1. Comparison between explicit and simplified fuel blocks

- Augmentation factors for pressure drop and heat transfer calculations are applied in Ansys Fluent to account for the reduction in wetted surface area when simplifying 31 channels to 6.
- The simplified 6-channel CFD model solves significantly faster, which improves commercial viability of the verification process.

Thermal Hydraulics Methodology

 Temperatures of the fuel rods, helium coolant, and graphite moderator shown in Figure 2 are calculated using a 2-dimensional axisymmetric
Flownex® model shown in Figure 3.



Figure 2. Heat transfer path through component-level geometry

A Flownex® model for an individual fuel block, shown in **Figure 3**, was used to verify the thermal hydraulic behaviour of the 5-high fuel block stack. This model is then applied to the full HTTR core.

Figure 4. Temperature contours from Ansys Fluent of HTTR during full-power (left) and third-power (right) operation

Figure 5. HTTR core CFD geometry



CFD Case Setup	Selectio	n
Turbulence Model	SST k-or	nega
Radiation Model	Discrete Ordinate	es
Discretisation Method and Cell Count	Polyhedral 4,900,000	
Full-power Boundary Condition		Value
Helium mass flow rate (kg/s) [3]		12.5
Helium inlet temperature (°C) [4]		395
Reactor pressure (MPa) [4]		4
Graphite grade		IG-110
Vessel Cooling System (VCS) temperature (°C)		40



Figure 3. Flownex® model of the fifth fuel block in the fuel block stack

The Flownex® model assumes the full HTTR core is axisymmetric, requiring the solid core geometries to be averaged into concentric rings: each with volume-averaged thermal properties [2].

VCS Convective Heat Transfer 3 Coefficient (W/m²K)

Conclusions

- A HTTR Core CFD model was made in Ansys Fluent and was compared to a HTTR thermal hydraulics model made in Flownex[®], showing strong agreement between the predicted helium temperatures.
- Graphite temperatures predicted between Ansys Fluent and Flownex® vary by approximately 50°C, with work underway to investigate heat transfer coefficients.

Next Steps



Expand HTTR models to incorporate transient fault condition analysis, such as during a Loss of Forced Coolant scenario.



Investigate heat transfer correlations within Flownex® for component temperature predictions down to the graphite fuel compact.

C. Wang et al. 2020. Annals of Nuclear Energy. A hybrid porous model for full reactor core scale CFD investigation of a prismatic HTGR.
P. G. Rousseau and G. P. Greyvenstein. 2002. Potchefstroom University for CHE. Modelling of the HTTR in Flownet.
N. Tsuji et al. 2013. Nuclear Engineering and Design. Study of the applicability of CFD calculation for HTTR reactor.
S. Nakagawa et al. 2008. Power and Energy Systems. Improvement of Analysis Technology for HTGRs Using Data Obtained in HTTR.

Contact Finley Underhill Nuclear Scientist Engineering Modelling Team **E**. finley.underhill@uknnl.com