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THE PROBLEM


## WHAT CAN WE DO?

First, we must understand fundamental aspects of sleep timing:

Regulation by external and internal rhythms
(2) Rhythms are observed to align very differently in different people

## WHAT DO WE NEED?

We need a framework to understand the interaction, and alignment, of the internal rhythms that regulate sleep

## CAN MATHS HELP?

Can a mathematical model (the two-process model)...

(1)Reproduce observed differences in rhythm alignment between different people?

(2)Give insight into possible mechanisms underlying differences?

## CONCLUSIONS

The fundamental 'tongue' structure of the two-process model can explain the large differences observed in internal rhythm alignment

The model suggests that
a) variation in rhythm alignment is due to a number of different physiological mechanisms
b) the same alignment can be explained by multiple physiological mechanisms

## OUTLOOK

Large differences in rhythm alignment between different people may mean a 'one-size-fits-all' treatment to improve sleep timing may be ineffective

Alongside future work to include the effect of external rhythms, the two-process model may act as a novel framework for designing personalised treatment interventions to improve sleep


## THE TWO-PROCESS MODEL ${ }^{[5,6]}$

The two-process model
Is a phenomenological model, capturing the interaction of circadian and sleep pressure rhythms to maintain long term sleep function-related homeostasis - Underlies other sleep models that capture neuronal activity during sleep and wake ${ }^{[7]}$
$\rightarrow$ this means we can relate physiological mechanisms controlling sleep to the model parameters:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{l}
\text { sensitivity to model parameters: } \\
\text { circadian drive }
\end{array} a, \underbrace{\bar{H}, \Delta}_{\text {rate of sleep pressure }}, \overbrace{\chi_{w}}, \chi_{s} \text { accumulation and decay }
\end{aligned}
$$ sensitivity to level of sleep pressure

## INDIVIDUAL DIFFERENCES

 in rhythm alignmentThe rhythms that regulate sleep are timed relative to each other:


The alignment between each rhythm varies from person to person, even among healthy individuals:


IMPLCATIONS
Treatments for mis-timed sleep (e.g. melatonin supplements) target the alignment between external and circadian rhythms, but sleep timing also depends on circadian-sleep pressure alignment
(i)


Variation means that 'one-size-fits-all' treatments may be ineffective for some individuals


Parameters control model appearance

## OUR WORK - individual differences



GOAL: Understand alignment in the two-process model CHALLENGE: Alignment is not defined a priori in the model SOLUTION: Use two strategies to study the structure of the model

ANALYSIS

1. Make simplifying assumptions (small $a$ )
2. Derive an equation for alignment, $\Phi$
$\left.\Phi=\frac{12}{\pi} \arccos \left(\frac{f(\ldots)}{a \rho}\right)-\theta\right)$
Replace with $\bar{H}, \Delta, \chi_{w}$ or $\chi_{s}$
3. Draw conclusions

- properties of the arccos function mean that alignment can vary by 12 hours
- alignment can be varied by changing values assigned to $a$ and any of $\bar{H}, \Delta, \chi_{w}$ or $\chi_{s}$
OUR WORK - mechanisms

4. Visualise structure as parameters (e.g. $a, \Delta$ ) are changed

- We find radiating contours of varying alignments
(
Mathematicians call these plots 'Arnold tongues'

1. Solve for alignment using MATLAB software
2. Visualise structure as parameters (e.g $a, \Delta)$ are changed. We observe - how the 'tongue' extends for large $a$, - that the lower tip of the 'tongue' matches the prediction from $\Phi$ equation $\rightarrow$ numerical result has the same fundamental structure as analytical result


Moving across contours in the 'tongue'


